The 8th July, 1983

No. ID/SPT/92-83/32351.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial Fdispute exists between the workman Shri Subhash Chand and the management of M/s Mahesh Wood Products (P) Ltd. Bahalgarh (Sonepat) regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted, under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No.3864-ASO(E)-Lab/70/13648 dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Subhash Chand was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/SPT/81-83/32358.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Kanahya Lal and the management of M/s The Milton Cycle Industries Ltd., Sonepat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government Notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated &th May, 1970 read with Government Notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated the 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Kanahya Lal, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 15th/18th July, 1983

No. ID/FD/92-83/33106.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Nand Lal Singh, and the management of M/s Super Steels, Plot No. 34, Sector, 6, Faridabad.regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (l) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Nand Lal Singh, was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD-94/83/33120.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri T. P. Singh and the management of M/s. Food-imp Agencies P. Ltd., Plot No. 100, Sector 6 Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

"Whether the termination of services of Shri T. P. Singh, was justified and in order? If not to what relief he is entitled?